

UNITED STATES ... (MENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

08/349479

 SERIAL NUMBER
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED APPLICANT
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 08/349, 479
 12/02/94
 BORDER
 W
 PLA1245

023601 HM22/0514 CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP 4370 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE : 7TH FLOOR SAN DIEGO CA 92122 EXAMINER

GAMBEL, P

ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER

16 444 7 |

DATE MAILED:

05/14/01

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☐ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	
a) is extended to run or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection
b) a expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six many control of the respiration of the respiration of the respiration of the respiration of	
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory periods.	e date of the response and also the date for the t of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed has been consto place the application in condition for allowance:	sidered with the following effect, but it is not deemed
1.	and the final rejection stands because:
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the propose presented. 	d amendment is necessary and was not earlier
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or sea	arch. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
 They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal because appeal. 	y materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:	•
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if so the non-allowable claims.	ubmitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will be as follows:	ill not be entered and the status of the claims will
Claims allowed:	PhilipGampa
Claims objected to:	PHILLIP GAMBEL, PH.D
Claims rejected: 21-23, 25	PRIMARY EXAMINER (690
However;	5/10/0(
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but do FOL THE ILEASONS OF RECONS 131131 1311 1311 1311 1311 1311 1311	es not overcome the rejection because
5 The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not partier	
presented PRION TO 12/21/98 BATE OF DASCH ET AL. WITH DESPECT TO SCOPE OF CLAIMED METHODS AND PINON AM TOSICHNOL	
The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. THENE APPEARS PREAMINGOUS ISTENCY OF SECTION # 5 OF 131 AND	
The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. THEME APPEARS TO BE AN IN CONSISTENCY OF SECTION # 5 OF 131 AND Other LANGUIND 132 DEC. IN THAT 132 DOES NOT ADDRESS ARDS, CIRRHOSIS	
AUD SCADDING.	•

. ..